

Workshop Lecture 2 Solution

1. Staple Limited

(a) Number of units that can be made with the idle hours = $\frac{8,000}{.5} = 16,000$

Number of units that can be made with the dir material = $\frac{34,000}{2} = 17,000$

(so all levels of output can be made)

(b) Relevant cost per unit:

		£
Direct material	2 kg @ 1.50	3.00
[Normal direct labour cost per hour = 8		
Idle time cost (80%)	<u>6.40</u>	
=> Incremental cost	1.60/hr]	
Direct labour per unit	·5x1.60 =	.80
Variable Overhead		2.00
Fixed Overhead – irrelevant		<u>5.80</u>

SP/unit	£20	£30	£40
	12x·4 = 4.8	9x·4 = 3.6	3x·4 = 1.2
	14x·5 = 7.0	10x·5 = 5	7x·5 = 3.5
EV of demand in	15x·1 = <u>1.5</u>	12x·1 = <u>1.2</u>	10x·1 = <u>1.0</u>
Units (000)	<u>13.3</u>	<u>9.8</u>	<u>5.7</u>
Contribution per unit(£)	20-5.8 = 14.2	30-5.8 = 24.2	40-5.8=34.2
EV of contribution	13,300 x 14.2	9,800 x 24.2	5,700 x 34.2
=	£188,860	£237,160	£194,940
Incremental fixed cost	-	£12,000	£12,000
Expected value of project	<u>£188,860</u>	<u>£225,160</u>	<u>£182,940</u>

(c) The expected value is the notional weighted average of outcomes if the decision were able to be made many times – which is not of course the case. The range of individual outcomes, and their probabilities and management's attitude to risk will all matter. A schedule of each individual outcome with its associated probability would be helpful.

(d) Sensitivity analysis, simulation.

continued/...

2. Fruit Ltd

	Apple	Banana	Cherry
SP/unit	100	200	300
VC	<u>40</u>	<u>150</u>	<u>220</u>
Cont/unit	60	50	80
Av. F.Cost	£200,000	£220,000	£260,000

Expected value of volume (000s)

$$\begin{array}{lll}
 6x \cdot 2 + 8x \cdot 5 + 10x \cdot 3 & 2x \cdot 3 + 12x \cdot 5 + 18x \cdot 2 & \\
 6x \cdot 3 + 7x \cdot 5 + 9x \cdot 2 & & \\
 = 1.2 + 4 + 3 & = .6 + 6 + 3.6 & = 1.8 + 3.5 + 1.8 \\
 \\
 = 8,200 \text{ units} & = 10,200 \text{ units} & = 7,100 \text{ units}
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{llll}
 \Rightarrow \text{EV of Cont} & & & \\
 (\text{units} \times 60) = & 492,000 & (\text{units} \times 50) = & 510,000 & (\text{units} \times 80) = & 568,000 \\
 \text{FC} & \underline{200,000} & \underline{220,000} & & \underline{260,000} & \\
 \text{EV of project} = & \underline{292,000} & \underline{290,000} & & \underline{308,000} &
 \end{array}$$

(a) Cherry

(b)

prob	Banana	Cont/unit	Total Cont	F.Costs	"Profit"	Prob x profit
.3	2000 units	50	100,000	0(cancel)	0	0
.5	12000 units	50	600,000	220,000	380,000	190
.2	18000 units	50	900,000	220,000	680,000	<u>136</u>
						326

$$\begin{array}{r}
 \text{£}326,000 \\
 \text{less research cost} \quad \underline{15,000} \\
 \text{Expected value of project} \quad \underline{\underline{\text{£}311,000}}
 \end{array}$$

(c) Project "Banana + Research" gives highest EV of profit BUT, as always, the range of individual outcomes, and their probabilities and management's attitude to risk will all matter.

"Banana + Research" constitutes a 4th option to be considered alongside the others.

All individual outcomes could be calculated in this example since there is a limited number. (But not necessarily in an exam – unless specifically required.)

Often a good idea to look at worst cases and their probabilities

Eg "Banana + Research" has a .3 probability of a loss of £15,000.

What are the worst possible results of the other options?

Apple	£160,000	(.2)
Banana	(£120,000)	(.3)
Cherry	£220,000	(.3)