

Workshop Lecture 2 Solution

1. Staple Limited

(a) Number of units that can be made with the idle hours $= \frac{8,000}{.5} = 16,000$

Number of units that can be made with the dir material $= \frac{34,000}{2} = 17,000$

(so all levels of output can be made)

(b) Relevant cost per unit:

Direct material	2 kg @ 1.50	£ 3.00
[Normal direct labour cost per hour = 8 Idle time cost (80%) $\frac{6.40}{1.60/hr}$ => Incremental cost		
Direct labour per unit	$.5 \times 1.60 =$.80
Variable Overhead		2.00
Fixed Overhead – irrelevant		<u>5.80</u>

SP/unit	£20	£30	£40
	$12 \times .4 = 4.8$	$9 \times .4 = 3.6$	$3 \times .4 = 1.2$
	$14 \times .5 = 7.0$	$10 \times .5 = 5$	$7 \times .5 = 3.5$
EV of demand in	$15 \times .1 = 1.5$	$12 \times .1 = 1.2$	$10 \times .1 = 1.0$
Units (000)	13.3	9.8	5.7
Contribution per unit (£)	$20 - 5.8 = 14.2$	$30 - 5.8 = 24.2$	$40 - 5.8 = 34.2$
EV of contribution	$13,300 \times 14.2$	$9,800 \times 24.2$	$5,700 \times 34.2$
=	£188,860	£237,160	£194,940
Incremental fixed cost	-	£12,000	£12,000
Expected value of project	<u>£188,860</u>	<u>£225,160</u>	<u>£182,940</u>

(c) The expected value is the notional weighted average of outcomes if the decision were able to be made many times – which is not of course the case. The range of individual outcomes, and their probabilities and management's attitude to risk will all matter. A schedule of each individual outcome with its associated probability would be helpful.

(d) Sensitivity analysis, simulation.

continued/...

2. Fruit Ltd

	Apple	Banana	Cherry
SP/unit	100	200	300
VC	<u>40</u>	<u>150</u>	<u>220</u>
Cont/unit	60	50	80
Av. F.Cost	£200,000	£220,000	£260,000

Expected value of volume (000s)

$$\begin{array}{lll}
 6x \cdot 2 + 8x \cdot 5 + 10x \cdot 3 & 2x \cdot 3 + 12x \cdot 5 + 18x \cdot 2 & \\
 6x \cdot 3 + 7x \cdot 9 + 9x \cdot 5 + 9x \cdot 2 & & \\
 = 1.2 + 4 + 3 & = \cdot 6 + 6 + 3.6 & = 1.8 + 3.5 + 1.8 \\
 \\
 = 8,200 \text{ units} & = 10,200 \text{ units} & = 7,100 \text{ units}
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{lll}
 \Rightarrow \text{EV of Cont} & & \\
 (\text{units} \times 60) = & 492,000 & (\text{units} \times 50) = 510,000 \quad (\text{units} \times 80) = 568,000 \\
 \text{FC} & \underline{200,000} & \underline{220,000} \quad \underline{260,000} \\
 \text{EV of project} = & \underline{292,000} & \underline{290,000} \quad \underline{308,000}
 \end{array}$$

(a) Cherry

(b)

prob	Banana	Cont/unit	Total Cont	F.Costs	"Profit"	Prob x profit
·3	2000 units	50	100,000	0(cancel)	0	0
·5	12000 units	50	600,000	220,000	380,000	190
·2	18000 units	50	900,000	220,000	680,000	<u>136</u>
						326

$$\begin{array}{r}
 \\
 \\
 \text{less research cost} & \underline{15,000} \\
 \text{Expected value of project} & \underline{\underline{£311,000}}
 \end{array}$$

(c) Project "Banana + Research" gives highest EV of profit BUT, as always, the range of individual outcomes, and their probabilities and management's attitude to risk will all matter.

"Banana + Research" constitutes a 4th option to be considered alongside the others.

All individual outcomes could be calculated in this example since there is a limited number. (But not necessarily in an exam – unless specifically required.)

Often a good idea to look at worst cases and their probabilities

Eg "Banana + Research" has a ·3 probability of a loss of £15,000.

What are the worst possible results of the other options?

Apple	£160,000	(.2)
Banana	(£120,000)	(.3)
Cherry	£220,000	(.3)